



SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Kittitas County CDS

Purpose of checklist:

Governmental agencies use this checklist to help determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. This information is also helpful to determine if available avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures will address the probable significant impacts or if an environmental impact statement will be prepared to further analyze the proposal.

Instructions for applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Please answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. You may need to consult with an agency specialist or private consultant for some questions. You may use "not applicable" or "does not apply" only when you can explain why it does not apply and not when the answer is unknown. You may also attach or incorporate by reference additional studies reports. Complete and accurate answers to these questions often avoid delays with the SEPA process as well as later in the decision-making process.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Instructions for Lead Agencies:

Please adjust the format of this template as needed. Additional information may be necessary to evaluate the existing environment, all interrelated aspects of the proposal and an analysis of adverse impacts. The checklist is considered the first but not necessarily the only source of information needed to make an adequate threshold determination. Once a threshold determination is made, the lead agency is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the checklist and other supporting documents.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

For nonproject proposals (such as ordinances, regulations, plans and programs), complete the applicable parts of sections A and B plus the [SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS \(part D\)](#). Please completely answer all questions that apply and note that the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proponent," and "affected geographic area," respectively. The lead agency may exclude (for non-projects) questions in Part B - Environmental Elements –that do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of the proposal.

A. Background [\[HELP\]](#)

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:

Vantage Recreation Area – North Jetty Cove Improvement Project

2. Name of applicant:

Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County, Washington (Grant PUD)

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

**Grant PUD
John Wallace
30 C St SW
PO Box 878
Ephrata, WA 98823
509-754-5088**

4. Date checklist prepared:

1/20/2022

5. Agency requesting checklist:

Grant PUD

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

Start of construction: March 2022

End of construction: May 2022

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

No plans for future additions, expansion or further activity related to this proposal.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

No environmental information is required.

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

None are known.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers – Section 10 & NWP 1

U.S. Coast Guard - PATON

Washington Department of Fish & Wildlife – Hydraulic Project Approval

Kittitas County – Shoreline Conditional Use Permit

Grant PUD – SEPA Determination

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

This project will include:

Electrical infrastructure – All underground and above ground electrical wiring and utilities such as outlets, lamp posts, and fountain lights will be removed. A diver inspection confirmed there is no electrical infrastructure in the water. Fill will be added where noticeable changes in soil height is observed on the shoreline and exposed areas will be replanted with grass or native vegetation.

Under water structures from dock removal – Divers will utilize a boat to remove underwater structures

There is an old dock section laying at the bottom of the marina that will be lifted out via using a rope. Metal frames will be cut and lifted onto the dive boat for removal.

Fountain pump removal – Plumbing lines that come in from the Columbia River will be disassembled and removed from the bottom of the river using a dive boat and diver. If necessary, any holes will be sealed with a concrete grout infill to prevent erosion.

Invasive vegetation – Invasive vegetation will be removed by hand to prevent choking out native plant species.

New amenities – Installation of handrails at any locations that present falling exposure to the public. Install bollards at each side of the upper boardwalk to prevent vehicles entering the day-use area. Install picnic tables, benches, trash cans and install any necessary signage.

Buoy/Rope – A dedicated buoy/rope will be installed across the marina entrance to prevent boats entering the new dedicate swim area.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

Take I-90 W, exit 136 toward Vantage. Take left onto Vantage Hwy, take the next right onto Boat Ramp Rd, then take a left on Holiday Ave. to Vantage Riverstone Marina.

B. Environmental Elements [\[HELP\]](#)

1. Earth [\[help\]](#)

a. General description of the site:

(circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other _____
Gently sloping to the east.

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?
The steepest slope on the site is 25%.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

Soils found at the site include Malaga Gravelly Sandy Loan.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

There are no unstable soils in the immediate vicinity.

e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

No fill, excavation or grading are proposed for this project.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.
No erosion will be a result of this proposal.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

There is no impervious surfaces for this project.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:
None are proposed.

2. Air [\[help\]](#)

a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

During construction, construction related vehicles and boats will operate at the site.

After construction, automobiles and boats will access the site for recreation purposes. This use however, is already occurring at the site and it is unlikely that use will increase as a result of this proposal.

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

There are no off-site sources of emissions or odors that will affect this proposal.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:
Efforts will be made to limit idle times of boat engines and other construction equipment.

3. Water [\[help\]](#)

a. Surface Water: [\[help\]](#)

1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

The Columbia River and an unnamed seasonal stream associated with Schnebly Coulee. Schnebly Coulee has intermittent flows and outlets to the Columbia River

2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

Yes.

Work includes:

- **Removal of electrical infrastructure such as light posts, pedestals, and vaults located on the shoreline in the cove area.**
- **Removal of underwater structures left over from dock removal including metal frames, and concrete dock section at bottom of marina. Structures will be removed utilizing boats and divers. Structures will be lifted up with rope and then transported to the shoreline for disposal.**
- **Plumbing lines that come in from the Columbia River will be disassembled and removed from the bottom of the river using a dive boat and diver. If necessary, any holes will be sealed with a cement grout infill to prevent erosion.**

- **Installation of a dedicated buoy/rope across marina entrance to prevent boats from entering the new dedicated swim area.**

3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

No fill or dredge material will be placed or removed from surface water or wetlands.

4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

No surface water withdrawals or diversions will occur as a result of this proposal.

5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.
Yes.

6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

There will be no discharge of waste materials to surface waters.

b. Ground Water: [\[help\]](#)

1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

No groundwater will be withdrawn.

2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals. . . ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

No waste material will be discharged.

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

No runoff or stormwater will be generated from this proposal.

2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

No waste materials can enter ground or surface waters.

3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

This proposal will not alter or affect drainage patterns.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage

pattern impacts, if any:

None are proposed.

4. **Plants** [\[help\]](#)

a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:

- deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other
- evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
- shrubs
- grass
- pasture
- crop or grain
- Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops.
- wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other
- water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
- other types of vegetation

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

Any type of noxious plants or weeds that are present along the shoreline will be hand pulled and removed off-site.

c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

There are no threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

Areas requiring landscaping will be planted with native plants and monitored as required.

e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.

Knapweed and punturevine.

5. **Animals** [\[help\]](#)

a. List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site.

Examples include:

birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other:
mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other:
fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other _____

b. List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

The following species of fish have been documented using Wanapum Reservoir in the project vicinity: Spring Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha* - Endangered), summer and fall Chinook salmon (*O. tshawytscha*), summer steelhead (*O. mykiss* - Threatened), coho (*O. kisutch*), sockeye salmon (*O. nerka*), Dolly Varden/Bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus* - Threatened), largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*),

smallmouth bass (*Micropterus dolmieu*), mountain whitefish (*Prosopium williamsoni*), kokanee (*O. nerka*), rainbow trout (*O. mykiss*), walleye (*Sander vitreus*), white sturgeon (*Acipenser transmontanus*) (WDFW 2012).

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

Yes. This section of the Columbia River is a migration route for anadromous and resident fish and numerous species of waterfowl.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

None are proposed.

e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

There are no invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

6. Energy and Natural Resources [\[help\]](#)

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

None.

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties?

If so, generally describe.

The project will not affect potential use of solar energy.

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal?

List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

None are proposed.

7. Environmental Health [\[help\]](#)

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

There are no environmental health hazards that will occur as a result of this proposal.

1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.

There is no contamination at the site from present or past uses.

2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.

There are no existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that will affect the project.

3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.

No toxic or hazardous chemicals will be stored, used or produced at any time.

4) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

Emergency services needs are anticipated to remain similar to current needs. No special emergency services needs are known.

- 5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:
None are proposed.

b. Noise

- 1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

There are no types of noise in the area that will affect this project.

- 2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

On a short-term basis, noises relating to construction operations using construction equipment should be anticipated.

On a long-term basis, passenger and truck vehicular noises, power-boat noises, and noise relating to people recreating, similar to that which is already associated with the site, should be expected. The project does not propose to extend hours of operation, which are roughly from dawn to dusk.

- 3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

None are proposed.

8. Land and Shoreline Use [\[help\]](#)

- a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.

Currently the site is an abandoned day-use recreation site that includes an upper and lower boardwalk trail, water fountain feature and grassy areas.

Adjacent property is Grant PUD's Vantage Recreation Area which includes a two-lane boat launch with ADA boarding floats, an expanded day-use area with 9 picnic tables, an ADA restroom, asphalt parking area, trash receptacle, interpretation and education kiosks, and accessible routes to the area's facilities is located to the south.

The Columbia River is located to the east.

I-90 and the I-90 Columbia River Bridge are to the south.

This proposal will not affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties. It will enhance recreation experiences for the public.

- b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?

This project site has not been used as farmlands or working forest lands.

- 1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal

business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:

This proposal will not affect or be affected by farming or forest land business operations.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

Structures on the site include underwater structures left over from a dock removal that occurred in June 2021, including metal frames and concrete dock section at the bottom of the marina. A fountain that will be left in place, but no longer functioning.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

This project will include removal of electrical infrastructure such as light posts, pedestals, and vaults, underwater structures left over from dock removal which occurred in June of 2021, including metal frames, and concrete dock section at bottom of marina, and fountain pump.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

The current zoning classifications for the site are Forest and Range.

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

Current land use designation is Rural.

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

The current shoreline master program designation for the site is Aquatic and Urban Conservancy.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify.

This site has not been classified as a critical area by

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

None.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

None.

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

There are no proposed measures required.

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

This project is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans.

m. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts to agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:

None are proposed.

9. Housing [\[help\]](#)

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

No housing will be provided.

- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

No housing will be eliminated.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

No measures are required.

10. Aesthetics [\[help\]](#)

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

No new structures are being added.

- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

No views will be altered or obstructed.

- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

None are proposed.

11. Light and Glare [\[help\]](#)

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

No light or glare will be produced from this project.

- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

There will be no light or glare that would cause a safety hazard or interfere with views associated with this project.

- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

No existing off-site sources of light or glare will affect this proposal.

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

There are no proposed measures needed or required.

12. Recreation [\[help\]](#)

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

The proposed work will occur at an existing public recreation site. The proposed work will improve safety and maintain the existing facilities at the site.

- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

No. The proposed project will enhance recreation uses at the site.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

None are proposed. The purpose of the proposal is to improve recreation opportunities and public safety.

13. Historic and cultural preservation [\[help\]](#)

- a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers ? If so, specifically describe.

No historic properties are located within the boundaries of the proposed project. Site 45KT2393 is the nearest archaeological site with a recommendation of eligibility for the National Register of Historic Places, located 0.6 miles to the north. Site 45KT2393 includes intact precontact cairn and talus pit features and a single CCS flake (Berger et al. 2011).

Berger, Margaret, Ed Arthur, Jason Cowan, Katherine M Kelly, James Schumacher, Jesse Buerhaus, Jennifer Chambers, Melanie Diedrich, Jena Kalli, Phil Pedack, Jeremy Ripin, and Kelli Shoaf

2011 Report of Archaeological Resources Assessment for the Grant County PUD Priest Rapids Hydroelectric Project FERC Re-Licensing Project 27 – Test Excavations 20. Cultural Resources Consultants, Inc. Submitted to the Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County, Contract No. 430-2904. Manuscript on file, Washington State Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation, Olympia.

- b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

One archaeological site (45KT3151) is located within the proposed project boundaries. This site consists of a single yellow chert biface fragment (Hackenberger et al. 2003). In 2012, the site was recommended not eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (Schumacher et al. 2012). Nearby sites include 45KT3152 located 58 m north of the proposed project area, 45KT3150 located 68 meters south of the proposed project area, and 45KT2837, located 148 m west of the proposed project area. Site 45KT3150 includes two lithic flakes and has been recommended not eligible for the National Register of Historic Places (Hackenberger et al. 2003; Schumacher et al. 2012). Site 45KT3152 consists of three lithic flakes and has also been recommended not eligible for the National Register of Historic Places (Hackenberger et al. 2003; Schumacher et al. 2012). Site 45KT2837 consists of historic refuse from the 1880s to 1960s, as well as a historic rock pile, and one lithic flake, and has also been recommended not eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (Tingwall et al. 2009). Cultural resource surveys in the proposed project area have been completed by Crisson et al. (2016), Hackenberger et al. (2003), and Schumacher et al. (2012).

Crisson, Fred, Julia Furlong, Stan Gough, Stephen Emerson, James Schumacher, Emily Peterson, Daryl E. Ferguson, Matthew J. Root, Shawnee N. BearCub, Eric Oosahwee-Voss, and Elizabeth Armstrong

2016 Cultural Resources Survey of the Wanapum Spillway Incident Drawdown Zone 2014-2015, Project Number 35, Grant County Public Utility District No. 2, Chelan, Douglas, Grant, and Kittitas Counties, Washington. Submitted to the Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County. Manuscript on file, Washington State Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation, Olympia.

Hackenberger, Steven, Brian Whiting, Demaris Garceau, Tucker Orvald, David Harder, Andrew Bielakowski, Karen Walker, Suzanne Wade, John Ward, and Jennifer Hoyt

2003 Historic Properties Inventory: Priest Rapids Hydroelectric Project (FERC Project No. 2114) Grant, Chelan, Douglas, Kittitas, Yakima, and Benton Counties, Washington. Earth Imaging Associates, Inc. Submitted to the Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County, Contract No. 430-865. Manuscript on file, Washington State Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation, Olympia.

Schumacher, James, Jesse Buerhaus, Marcia Montgomery, Jeremy Ripin, and Kelli Shoaf
2012 Interim Report of Archaeological Resources Assessment for the Grant County PUD Priest Rapids Hydroelectric Project FERC Re-Licensing Project # 29 – Test Excavations # 22: 45KT2391, 45KT2392, DS57, 45KT3139, 45KT3145, 45KT3146, 45KT3147, 45KT3148, 45KT3149, 45KT3150, 45KT3151, 45KT3152, 45KT3167, 45KT3168, 45KT3178. Cultural Resource Consultants, Inc. Submitted to the Public Utility District No 2. Of Grant County, Contract No. 430-2904. Manuscript on file, Washington State Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation, Olympia.

Tingwall, Douglas F. Linda Naoi Goetz, and Kara M. Kanaby
2009 Cultural Resources Report, Vantage Wastewater Treatment Facility, Kittitas County, Vantage, Washington. Landau Associates. Submitted to Hammond Collier Wade Livingstone. Manuscript on file, Washington State Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation, Olympia.

- c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc. **Methods include a review of records in the Washington State Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation’s Washington Information System for Architectural and Archaeological Records Data (WISAARD) database, as well as a review of records in Grant County PUD’s Archaeological Site Geodatabase. The proposed project area has been pedestrian surveyed utilizing 10 m transects by Hackenberger et al. (2003), variable width transects by Crisson et al. (2016), and 2-3 m transects by Schumacher et al. (2012). Schumacher et al. (2012:56) assessed that the Vantage Marina area has been “substantially modified by boat basin dredging and construction.”**
- d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required. **A Wanapum cultural monitor will be on-site during ground disturbing activities. Should any inadvertent discovery of archaeological resources or human remains occur during construction ground disturbing activity will cease and GCPUD’s protocol for unanticipated discoveries will be followed in accordance with GCPUD’s Historic Properties Management Plan sections 6.6.3.1 and 6.6.3.2.**

14. Transportation [\[help\]](#)

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any. **Holiday Road which connects to Boat Ramp Road is southwest of the proposed project which connects the Main Street in Vantage, WA. I-90 is south of the project site.**
- b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?
No. George, WA has the nearest public transit stop and is located 20 miles away.
- c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?
No additional parking spaces will be added or eliminated.

- d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

The proposal will not require any new roads or improvement to existing roads.

- e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

No.

- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and nonpassenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?

Not applicable.

- g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.

This proposal will not interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural or forest products on roads or streets in the area.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

None are proposed. It is not anticipated that the proposed project will increase trips in the area.

15. Public Services [\[help\]](#)

- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

No increase is anticipated.

- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

None are proposed.

16. Utilities [\[help\]](#)

- a. Circle utilities currently available at the site:
electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other **Irrigation.**

- b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

No new utilities are proposed for the site.

C. Signature [\[HELP\]](#)

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: Deb Firestone

Name of signee Deb Firestone

Position and Agency/Organization Regulatory Specialist II Grant County PUD

Date Submitted: 3/1/2022